

- Compliance without Obligation: Examining State Responses to the Syrian Refugee Crisis
- Samuel A. Davidoff-Gore
- IR Honors Conference April 29, 2015

#### **Research Problem**

- 1. Why do states host and protect refugees when they do not have the resources to do so?
- 2. Why do some states comply with international law without participating in it?

#### **Research Question**

Given that Jordan and Lebanon are not parties to the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees (CSR), what explains their compliance with the CSR with regard to their response to the Syrian refugee crisis?

## **Theoretical Significance**

 Integrating bodies of literature and perspectives in International Relations

	Realism		Liberalism	_	Constructivism		
Participation	Coincidence of		Cross issue		Normative		
and Compliance	interest;		persuasion		Persuasion;		
	Coercion;				Acculturation		Llistense
	Signaling	+		+		+	History;
State	Inability to		Temporary short		Role of UNHCR;		Past precedent
<b>Responses to</b>	stop refugee		term aid;		Arab identity;		
Refugees	flow; Political		Institutions facilitate		Hospitality		
	gain		cooperation				

Case study

## **Practical Significance**

- Timely
- Effectiveness of CSR
- Refugee Politics in the Arab Middle East



Source: http://i2.cdn.turner.com/cnn/dam/assets/140311173601-zaatari-camp-aerial-shot-story-top.jpg

## Argument

- 1. Jordanian and Lebanese compliance is a result of their previous decisions to host Palestinian and Iraqi refugees.
- 2. Jordan's compliance may be the result of pan-Arab solidarity and Arab hospitality.
- 3. Lebanon's compliance is the result of Lebanon's prior relationship with Syria and this compliance is mitigated by an ineffective government and security threats.

## Methods

- Comparative case study
- Process tracing
- Holistic definition of compliance
- Time Frame

Jordan	Lebanon				
1948 Arab-Israeli War					
1967 Arab-Israeli War					
1988 Disengagement	1991 Post-Civil War				
2003 Iraqi Refugee Crisis					
2011 Syrian Refugee Crisis					

# Findings

- No coherent domestic refugee policies
- Policies towards previous refugee populations shape policies towards Syrian refugees

# Findings

- Jordan is more compliant than Lebanon because:
  - Different decisions in 1948
  - Strong, centralized government vs. weak, sectarian government
  - Experience hosting non-Palestinian refugees and working with UNHCR
- No specific IR perspective validated

## Implications

- Theory
  - Participation in international law is important, but not essential, to compliance.
  - Prior decisions influence current policies.
  - Case studies allow for more complex analysis.
  - The Arab Middle East should not just be studied as a monolith.
- Practice
  - UNHCR-government cooperation is important.
  - The international refugee regime should universalize regional developments.
  - The CSR should be amended to include Palestinian refugees.

#### **Future Research**

- Turkey participatory, compliant, and hosts Syrian refugees
- Afghanistan and Somalia outside of the Syrian refugee crisis
- Large-*n* study of the CSR
- Other international legal regimes in the Arab Middle East