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**We Get What We Pay For: The Cycle of Military Spending, Industry Power, and Economic Dependence**

**The topline:** The United States consistently allocates most of its federal discretionary budget to the military. As a result, the military industry continues to gain disproportionately large amounts of power in the U.S. economy and political sphere, which in turn ensures continued growth in the military budget. This has the effect of squeezing out the resources and power of other sectors, and weakening the United States’ ability to perform core functions such as healthcare, infrastructure, education, and emergency preparedness. This perpetuates a vicious cycle: high military spending means the strong military sector will only continue to get political power and gain public trust, which will in turn ensure it receives disproportionate resources at the expense of other sectors, which means the military will take on roles that should go to other agencies, and the cycle repeats.

**Discretionary Budget Authority By Agency**

Fast facts:
- For Fiscal Year 2022, more than half of the discretionary budget went to national security spending.
- Of the money allocated to the Department of Defense, about half went to military contractors. About 30% of that went to the “Big 5” alone: Lockheed Martin, Boeing, Raytheon, General Dynamics, and Northrop Grumman.
- In 2022, the Department of Defense accounted for 34 percent of the civilian federal workforce, and Veterans Affairs made up another 20 percent, so that more than half of federal civilian employees are devoted to the military and veterans.
- The federal government workforce is comprised of about 3.5 million workers, if we include both civilians and uniformed active-duty personnel. Of this, about 72 percent is defense-related employment, including Department of Defense civilians, uniformed military personnel, and those working in Veterans Affairs. By comparison, the Department of Health and Human Services made up 4 percent of federal employees, and the Department of State only 1 percent.
- Dollar for dollar, spending on other sectors creates more jobs than spending on the military. Spending on other priorities such as clean energy, health care, or public education would create between 9 percent and 250 percent more jobs than the same amount of spending on the military.
The bottom line: In the same way that the rich get richer and the poor get poorer, continuing to spend in this way will ensure that the military industrial sector grows at the expense of other, more generative economic sectors. This is a missed opportunity: reducing the military budget and funding other priorities such as healthcare, education, clean energy, and infrastructure will help increase other forms of security – the kind of meaningful human security rooted in good health, good living conditions, and a productive and well-educated society – while also increasing employment nationwide.

Find the full paper here. Please reach out to costofwar@brown.edu with any questions.